$\underline{NAV03\ VT-NONDESTRUCTIVE\ TESTING\ (ISO\ 4.9)}$

Vendor:	Auditor: Date:	
1.	Routine Scheduled Audit	
	a. Annual b. Semi-annual	
	b. Semi-annual c. Other	
	c. Other	
2.	Product driven Audit	
	a. Product received by the Prime Vendor that does not meet specification requirements.	
	b. Product that was installed or was being installed the does not meet specification requirements.	
	c. Product has failed in service and investigations show it did not meet specification requirements.	
What s	specification is the Audit being performed to?	
3.	Governing Specification: Mark the appropriate specification	
	a. MIL-STD-2132	
	b. NAVSEA 250-1500-01 (Welds) c. MIL-STD-271 (F)	
	c. MIL-STD-271 (F)	
	e. T9074-AS-GIB-010/271 Revision 1	
	f. Other	
4.	Program Type: Mark the appropriate program type	
	a. Level I / SubSafe	
	b. Nuclear Plant Material	
	c. Fly by Wire Ships Control System	
	d. Navy Propulsion Program	
	e. Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program	
	f. Deep Submergence Systems / Scope of Certification Program	
	g. Aircraft Launch and Recovery	
	h. Other	
5.	Does the vendor have an NDT Examiner?	
	a. In house	
	b. Contracted	
	c. Certified in the method	
	d. Available for the Audit	
	e. No Examiner	
6.	Is the NDT inspection program administration code or specification complaint?	
	a. Level III Approved written practice	
	b. Approved procedures	
	i. Level III	
	ii. Prime contractor iii. Clearly specifies inspection requirements	
	iii. Clearly specifies inspection requirements iv. Clearly specifies acceptance criteria	
	v. Qualified to find known defects	

N	IAV03 V	T - NONDESTR	UCTIVE TESTING (ISO 4.9)	
		c.	Approved technique sheet	
			i. Level III	
			ii. Prime contractor	
			iii. Clearly specifies inspection requirements	
			iv. Clearly specifies acceptance criteria	
		d.	Approved technical work documents	
			i. Level III	
			ii. Prime contractor	
			iii. Clearly specifies inspection requirements	
			iv. Clearly specifies acceptance criteria	
		e.	Inspector records	
			i. Is there a current eye examination	
			ii. Certifications are current	
			iii. Previous certifications included	
			iv. Educational history	
		f.	Workmanship standards	
			i. Available	
			ii. Controlled	
	7.	Are material con	trols in place?	
		a.	Segregated (Level I, Subsafe, etc.)	
		b.	Controlled	
		c.	Traceable	
		d.	Procedure for disposition	
	8.	Are records main	ntained to confirm that all required inspection processes were	performed?
		a.	Description and unique identification of item being inspected	d 🗌
		b.	Approved procedure identification	
		c.	Acceptance standard used	
		d.	Date of inspection	
		e.	Signatures of inspectors	
		f.	Disposition (accept / reject) of the item inspected	
		g.	Retention (Where and how long)	
	9.	1. Technic	cal Concerns: List the technical concerns associated with the r	nethod.
		a.	Pre-Weld Fit-up and Dimensional: Pre-weld dimensions and	l fit-up attributes should be verified when
			applicable.	
		b.	Weld Contour (as welded or ground): An improper weld cor integrity of the weld joint and higher level NDT methods su	
			megrify of the word joint and migner level 14D1 methods su	en as wit, i i, o i and Ki.
		c.	Weld size (minimum and maximum): Specified weld sizes a	
			requirements. Weld size verification is an important attribute	e to ensure the engineered strength weld and
			component can meet its intended purpose.	
		d.	Acceptance Criteria: Acceptance criteria can vary depending	g on joint design, weld classification and
			higher level NDT requirements (PT, MT, UT, RT). Inspection	on procedure and Acceptance criteria should be
			available to inspector at workstation	
		e.	<u>Inadequate Process Controls</u> : Thorough and technically com	prehensive VT procedures ensure the
			inspector has adequate and detailed direction to evaluate any	

NAV03	VT - NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING (ISO 4.9)	
	f. <u>Inadequate Technique</u> : Inspector technique and methodology when per especially measuring and dimensional verification of weld size and direction use of lighting is an important and helpful component of the inspection discontinuities. Shadow formation caused by ridges and crevices are rewith proper flashlight angulation.	scontinuity size, are critical. Proper n to enhance identification of surface
10.	Known Process Problems: List the known process problems	
	a. Required inspection tools available	
	b. Inspection tools calibrated (when required)	
	c. Is the lighting adequate (is there a procedure requirement?)	
Check	clist Instructions: Be specific and ask follow-up questions as appropriate. a. Any condition that is considered to be non-compliant must be specifically docu i. Specification ii. Page iii. Paragraph iv. Detailed description of what was observed	nmented as to what the deficiency is.
	 Document comments or observations on the checklist at each checkpoint or the matter if the checkpoint is satisfactory or unsatisfactory. 	e comment section, as needed, no
	c. Comments on any checkpoint may be positive, as well as negative.	
	d. If it is observed that an attribute requires additional attention but does not inval Improvement (NI) column and provide a recommendation in the comments are	_
Revie	w all findings with the vendor to be sure there is no confusion as to what the findings	are before you leave the vendor
	Inspector Name: Procedure: V	PAR Approval:
	Part examined:	
1.	Did the Examiner/Supervisor conduct a pre-work brief?	Sat Unsat NI N/A
2.	Was the component or joint being inspected clearly identified?	Sat Unsat NI N/A

Page 3 of 8 Revision Date: 2/22

3.	Was the inspection zone adequate (HAZ, 1/2" or 1" on either side of the weld, etc.)?	Sat	Unsat NI N/A
4.	Is the lighting correct for the inspection?	Sat	Unsat NI N/A
5.	Was the supplemental lighting manipulated as needed?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
6.	Were the tools in good condition?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
7.	Were the tools calibrated? (Mil-I-45208 3.3, ISO 9001 7.1.5)	Sat	Unsat NI N/A
8.	Were the correct tools used for the inspection?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
9.	Was the inspectors' eye at the correct distance and angle for the job?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
10.	Was the 5X magnification technique properly applied, when required?	Sat	Unsat NI N/A
11.	For Class P-1 pipe socket welds, is the required scribe line present?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
12.	Were the pre-weld fit-up dimensions (end prep) within parameters for the applicable joint design?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
13.	Was there a proper evaluation of the part, including ID of pipe where applicable?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
14.	VT inspection required at the time of maximum accessibility for ID VT (before other welds were added that render the weld ID inaccessible for VT?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
15.	For pipe welds that are partially inaccessible for V T of the ID, is VT applied for defects that can be checked with extended mirrors and or flashlights, such as burn through, lack of penetration, and rejectable oxidation?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
16.	Do two-sided groove welds receive VT, or required inspection by production personnel, of back-gouged surfaces to the required acceptance criteria?	Sat	Unsat NI NA

Page 4 of 8 Revision Date: 2/22

17.	VT - NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING (ISO 4.9) Are one-sided butt/groove welds without backing or consumable inserts free of lack		
	of penetration on the ID?	Sat _	Unsat NI N/A
18.	For VT inspectors of pipe welds, does the surveillance/TPE performed by the Level III Examiner cover the inspection of weld IDs?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
19.	For castings, did the inspector correctly evaluate for all applicable acceptance criteria of the fabrication document or other applicable specifications?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
20.	For pressure containing welds, if discernable, is there evidence of at least two layers of weld material?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
21.	Was the reinforcement height / fillet size properly measured (once per weld or every 3 feet, highest / lowest area)?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
22.	For other than P-1 socket welds if the fitting edge is largely melted away, is there a scribe line to permit measurement of minimum fillet leg length on the pipe?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
23.	Is the weld free of sharp irregularities and rollover and are all angles on the weld surface and toes of the weld at least 90 degrees or greater?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
24.	Does the weld meet the required weld size (fillet, butt, pipe, structural, etc.)? List the class required and weld size.	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
25.	Is the weld free of cracks, burn through and incomplete fusion?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
26.	Is joint offset present and does it exceed the maximum limit? List the maximum allowed.	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
27.	Does the weld contain melt through? If it does, does it contain cracks, crevices, excessive oxidation or globules?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
28.	Does the weld contain convexity or concavity and does it exceed the maximum allowed? For one-sided pipe or other full penetration butt/groove welds without backing rings, is ID concavity/convexity correct? List the maximum allowed depth or height.	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
29.	Does the weld contain crater pits? If it does, does it contain cracks and does convexity, concavity and weld thickness meet requirements? List maximum allowed depth or height.	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A

NAV03	VT - NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING (ISO 4.9)		
30.	Was the reinforcement height / fillet size properly measured (once per weld or every 3 feet, highest / lowest area)?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
31.	Does the weld contain oxidation, (oxide scale accompanied by a wrinkled or crystalline surface appearance)? Tightly adhering, iridescent temper films are acceptable.	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
32.	Does the weld contain porosity and does it exceed the maximum size and summation? List the maximum size and summation allowed.	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
33.	Does the weld and adjacent base metal contain arc strikes? Does the removal site cavity exceed the maximum depth allowed? Where required, was etching used to verify the removal of the HAZ? List the class and maximum depth allowed.	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
34.	Does the weld and adjacent base metal contain gouges, grind marks or surface roughness? Are they rounded and free of notches and do they exceed the maximum depth allowed? List class and maximum depth.	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
35.	Does the weld contain weld spatter and does it exceed the maximum size allowed? List class and maximum size allowed.	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
36.	Does the weld contain slag and does it exceed the maximum size allowed? List class and maximum size allowed.	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
37.	Is there undercut present and does it exceed the depth allowed? List class and maximum depth allowed.	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
38.	Does the weld contain end-melt (Tee welds only) and does it exceed the maximum depth allowed? List the class and maximum depth allowed.	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
39.	Were all discontinuities properly identified?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
40.	Were the discontinuities properly sized or dimensioned?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
41.	Did the inspector demonstrate knowledge of the correct acceptance criteria and how the acceptance criterion is determined?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI NA NA
42.	Was the sample evaluated for all the conditions required by the procedure?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
43.	Was a report filled out correctly and with all the information required by the procedure with the proper disposition of any discontinuities? (TP-271 8.4, 250-1500-1 8.2)	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A

Page 6 of 8 Revision Date: 2/22

AV03	VT - NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING (ISO 4.9)			
43a.	If welds are covered by TP 278 para 4.1.3, were results recorded on the required record?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N.	√A [
44.	Is vision correction required? (Verify) Was vision correction worn during inspection? (TP-271 1.6.6.2, 250-1500-1 6.7.5)	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N	//A [
45.	Did the examiner that was watching the TPE provide feedback (either positive or negative) to the inspector after the examination was completed?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N	//A [
46.	Is there a corrective action system or remedial training plan in place for when inspector errors occur and is there evidence that it is followed?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N.	 !/A
Tit	anium Inspection:			
47.	Is the VT Inspector trained and certified to inspect titanium?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI NI N	//A [
48.	Is the VT Examiner trained and certified to inspect titanium?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N.	//A [
49.	Does the VT procedure cover titanium color inspection?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N.	//A [
50.	If required, can the inspector distinguish the colors used in the method during inspection? (Colorblind)	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N	//A [
51.	Do the color workmanship standards represent all colors and conditions?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI NI N	//A □
52.	Are the color workmanship standards available to the inspector?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N.	//A [
53.	Was the backside of the weld, regardless of thickness and joint type, shielded? If not was the temperature measured and below 500 F?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N.	//A [
54.	Is each pass inspected for color?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N.	//A [
55.	Who performs the inter-pass color inspection?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N	//A [
56.	If the color was rejectable on the inter-pass bead, was the cause determined and corrective actions taken before welding resumed?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI NI N	//A [
57.	If the color was rejected for other than straw, was the weld bead removed for the minimum required depth?	Sat 🗌	Unsat 🗌 NI 🗌 N.	 //A Г

Page 7 of 8 Revision Date: 2/22

59. Is the backside of two-sided welds inspected for color in the as deposited condition? (Before sanding, grinding, etc.) Sat Unsat NI N/ Sat Unsat NI N/ Sat Unsat NI N/ N/ 61. Was the color and boundary of weld + 1/32" correctly identified? Sat Unsat NI N/ Sat Unsat N/ Sat U	58.	Is there evidence of brushing, sanding, or grinding on the weld surface at the time of		
(Before sanding, grinding, etc.) Sat		color inspection?	Sat	Unsat NI N/A
(Before sanding, grinding, etc.) Sat Unsat NI N/ NI N/ NI N/ Sat Unsat NI N/ NI	59.	Is the backside of two-sided welds inspected for color in the as deposited condition?		
purge gas for the specific weld involved? Confirmed by inspector? Sat Unsat NI N/ N/ Sat Unsat NI N/ N/ Sat Unsat NI N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ Sat Unsat NI N/			Sat	Unsat NI N/A
61. Was the color and boundary of weld + 1/32" correctly identified? Sat Unsat NI N/.	60.		Sat \square	Unsat □ NI □ N/A □
62. Was the luster acceptable? Sat Unsat NI N/ NI N/ 83. Was the color of the HAZ (> 1/32" from toe) correctly identified? Sat Unsat NI N/ 84. For welds not covered by TP-278 Paragraph 4.1.3, did the VT inspector record/certify acceptable color and specifically list the governing acceptance criteria? Sat Unsat NI N/ N/ Sat Unsat NI N/ N/ Sat Unsat NI N/		purge gas for the specific weld involved. Commined by hispector.	Sui	
Sat Unsat NI N/A 63. Was the color of the HAZ (> 1/32" from toe) correctly identified? 64. For welds not covered by TP-278 Paragraph 4.1.3, did the VT inspector record/certify acceptable color and specifically list the governing acceptance criteria? Sat Unsat NI N/A Sat Unsat NI N/A Sat Unsat NI N/A	61.	Was the color and boundary of weld + 1/32" correctly identified?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
64. For welds not covered by TP-278 Paragraph 4.1.3, did the VT inspector record/certify acceptable color and specifically list the governing acceptance criteria? Sat Unsat NI N/A Sat Unsat NI N/A	62.	Was the luster acceptable?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
acceptable color and specifically list the governing acceptance criteria? Sat Unsat NI N/	63.	Was the color of the HAZ (> 1/32" from toe) correctly identified?	Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
oncerns/Comments Oncerns/Comments	64.		Sat 🗌	Unsat NI N/A
	oncerns	/Comments		

Page 8 of 8 Revision Date: 2/22